



15 July 2021

Department of Foreign Affairs and Trade
R.G. Casey Building
John McEwen Crescent
Barton ACT 0221 Australia

By electronic submission to JapanEPA@dfat.gov.au

2021 GENERAL REVIEW OF THE JAPAN-AUSTRALIA ECONOMIC PARTNERSHIP AGREEMENT

BSA | The Software Alliance (**BSA**)¹ welcomes the opportunity to provide comments in response to the general review of the Japan-Australia Economic Partnership Agreement (JAEPA) by the Department of Foreign Affairs and Trade (DFAT).²

The Australian Government is playing a leading role in advancing a new international legal framework for the digital economy through its proactive and creative approach to negotiating digital trade provisions and digital economy agreements (DEAs). The ambitious, high-standard commitments negotiated will benefit both Australia and its trading partners, supporting job creation, innovation, productivity growth, and overall competitiveness in the digital economy. Furthermore, at a time when some countries are placing limits on international technological collaboration and the cross-border transfer of information, Australia's leadership in advancing digital trade provides an essential counter-narrative that will help ensure that open and innovative economies will be able to collaborate with like-minded partners to develop new scientific and innovative solutions to address the health, environmental, and economic challenges of our generation.

BSA'S RECOMMENDATIONS FOR DIGITAL ECONOMY AGREEMENTS

BSA is the leading advocate for the global software industry before governments and in the international marketplace. BSA's members are at the forefront of the data-driven innovation that is fuelling global economic growth and recovery, including through cutting-edge advancements in cloud computing, security solutions, artificial intelligence (**AI**) and machine learning, and the Internet of Things (**IoT**). BSA member companies provide software solutions to enterprise customers, so they understand the critical importance of enabling a trusted digital environment, in which enterprises, government agencies, and individual consumers can trust that their data will remain secure and accessible and will be used in accordance with reasonable expectations.

Accordingly, BSA recommends that the Australian Government continue to enhance the JAEPA to include meaningful and enforceable commitments in the following areas:

- **Securing the New Data Economy**
- **Promoting Trust and Security**

¹ BSA's members include: Adobe, Akamai, Amazon Web Services, Apple, Autodesk, AVEVA, Bentley Systems, Box, Cadence, Cisco, CNC/Mastercam, DataStax, DocuSign, IBM, Informatica, Intel, MathWorks, Microsoft, Okta, Oracle, PTC, Salesforce, ServiceNow, Siemens PLM Software, Sitecore, Slack, Splunk, Symantec, Synopsys, Trend Micro, Trimble Solutions Corporation, Twilio, Workday and Zoom.

² <https://www.dfat.gov.au/trade/agreements/in-force/jaepa/news/jaepa-2021-general-review-call-public-submissions>

- **Advancing the Use of Technology in Government**

SECURING THE NEW DATA ECONOMY

The availability and movement of data underpins digital trade and the digital economy. Data is also at the core of new and rapidly growing service supply models such as cloud computing, IoT, and AI. Digital trade agreements like the JAEPA can play an important role in building new digital trade rules in these emerging areas.

International Data Transfers

Trade deals should include commitments that prohibit governments from imposing barriers to cross-border data transfers.

Recognising that a government may determine it to be necessary to adopt or maintain certain measures for legitimate domestic public policy purposes that are not consistent with this obligation, including for purposes of personal data protection or data security, the JAEPA should stipulate that any such measures must not discriminate against foreign service providers or constitute a disguised restriction on trade, and must be necessary to achieve the specific objective.

Furthermore, where a government treats domestic data transfers differently from international data transfers, such differential treatment must not result in less favourable treatment to foreign service providers.

Finally, with respect to this discipline and others across the agreement, a dispute settlement mechanism should be available to allow scrutiny and enforcement of measures that derogate from this obligation.

Data Localisation Requirements

Trade deals should prohibit governments from imposing data localisation requirements as a condition of market access for any sector of the economy. For example, a government should not require a software service provider to establish or use a data centre inside its borders as a condition for doing business in its territory.

Consistent with our earlier comment on cross border data transfers, while in some circumstances a government may deem it necessary to adopt or maintain certain measures for legitimate domestic public policy purposes that are not consistent with this obligation, including to protect privacy or promote data security, the JAEPA should stipulate that such measures must not discriminate against foreign service providers or constitute a disguised restriction on trade and must be narrowly tailored to achieve the specific objective.

The prohibition to data localisation requirements should apply to sector-specific policies as well. In general, rules specific to any specific sector, such as financial services, which are typically addressed in separate chapters of free trade agreements, should be substantially the same as the rules of general applicability on cross-border data transfers and data localisation and must not contain any special rules that could be interpreted to deviate from the general commitments.

A dispute settlement mechanism should also be available to allow scrutiny and enforcement of measures that derogate from this obligation.

New Digital Products and Services

JAEPA should ensure that robust market access commitments cover both existing categories of digital products and services and new ones that may emerge in the future. Innovative new digital products and services should be protected against future discrimination and trade agreements should not become obsolete as markets evolve and technology advances. By design, protections for services and investment should continue to apply as markets change and innovative technologies emerge unless a specific, negotiated exception applies.

Artificial Intelligence (AI)

Given that traditional trade agreements have not yet included disciplines regarding AI, Australia is

well-placed to set ambitious benchmarks for its trading partners through digital trade agreements in instruments like the JAEPA. The JAEPA should include provisions that will provide for an open regulatory environment for the trade and investment in, and development of, AI and emerging technologies and related services. The regulatory approach should also be aligned with internationally recognized standards or best practices to enhance regulatory consistency. These include:

- providing for ample, timely, and transparent opportunities for public engagement in developing relevant policies;
- adhering to risk-based policy development processes, including to assess and manage potential risks associated with specific AI applications, such as the potential for unintended bias;
- taking into account voluntary, internationally recognised standards when developing technical standards and other policies;
- giving due consideration to core principles of technological interoperability and technology neutrality;
- ensuring that commercial text and data mining of lawfully accessible content is permitted;
- avoiding discrimination against AI applications or technologies based on, for example, the origin of the application or technology; and
- promoting sustained investment in AI research and development on a non-discriminatory and transparent basis;
- collaborating on the development and adoption of AI policies that support the trusted, safe, fair and responsible use of AI technologies with relevant regional and international fora.

Electronic Authentication and Smart Contracts

The JAEPA should expand upon existing provisions on electronic signatures to encourage mutual recognition in electronic authentication which will help enhance the interoperability and adoption of paperless trading. In addition, the JAEPA should include provisions on digital identity frameworks and provide for the use of “smart” contracts and other autonomous machine-to-machine means for conducting transactions, such as blockchain. These mechanisms help reduce unnecessary and outdated processes, and support individuals and businesses by allowing them to conduct business quickly and securely with customers and partners.

Open Government Data

Ensuring that quality data is available and accessible to the public and to private enterprises is essential for helping businesses develop and deploy innovative business practices and new products, tools, and solutions to increase their competitiveness in global markets. To unlock the value of data across their economies and to attract foreign investments, digital trade agreements should include provisions to make non-sensitive government-generated data freely available to the public on a non-discriminatory basis and in machine-readable formats. The JAEPA can also encourage the development and use of privacy-enhancing technologies that enable data collaboration in ways that align with the public’s expectations for privacy.

Customs Duties on Electronic Transmissions

The JAEPA should continue to prohibit both parties from imposing customs duties or other customs requirements on software or other digital products transmitted electronically.

PROMOTING TRUST AND SECURITY

Privacy and security are bedrock principles for software services providers. BSA members are committed to protecting customers’ privacy and data security. Our companies regularly update their software products and services as well as their policies to ensure that their customers can securely

use their services and other offerings, and that they comply with the laws of each market in which they operate. Digital trade agreements can enhance the interoperability of legislative frameworks and reiterate the importance of aligning with internationally recognised standards.

Data Privacy

The JAEPA offers an opportunity for the Governments of Australia and Japan to make progress on the interoperability of data privacy frameworks and cross border data transfer mechanisms with key trading partners in the region and globally. The JAEPA should include provisions that will enhance the compatibility and interoperability of data protection and privacy frameworks and recognise the use of a variety data transfer mechanisms that meet the domestic standards of both Australia and Japan.

Cybersecurity

Domestic policies and international cooperation should aim to reduce cybersecurity risks (for instance by safeguarding information technology systems, sensitive data, and networks), leverage common solutions such as internationally recognised standards and innovative practices to improve efficiency, increase security, and meet organisations' needs. Therefore, the JAEPA should include provisions that will advance mutually beneficial approaches to cybersecurity and strengthen the foundations of digital trade and innovation. This can be done through building upon previous negotiating experience, such as the principles proposed by the United Nations Group of Government Experts and endorsed by the G-7.

Although businesses, private citizens, and government agencies all share responsibility for enhancing cybersecurity, governments play a primary role in advancing globally recognised standards, adopting internationally interoperable regulations, and introducing appropriate market incentives for the private sector to invest in cybersecurity. A G2G instrument like the JAEPA can encourage the mutual adoption of voluntary, standards-based, outcome-focused cyber risk management frameworks to drive the adoption of more effective cybersecurity measures by both governments and industry stakeholders.

Internationally Recognised Standards

Digital trade agreements should ensure application of the rules agreed under the WTO Agreement on Technical Barriers to Trade (**TBT**) as updated and revised in later agreements like the Comprehensive and Progressive Trans-Pacific Partnership (**CPTPP**) to apply to digital products, services, and technologies. Coverage should include cloud computing, data privacy, cybersecurity, AI/data analytics/machine learning, and other new and innovative digital products, services, and technologies. The JAEPA should reflect and reinforce the shared commitment between the Governments of Australia and Japan to apply these TBT disciplines in this manner would significantly enhance legal predictability among countries that seek to improve the business and operational environment for companies that operate, invest, and provide employment in their jurisdictions.

Encryption

Digital trade agreements should prevent governments from undermining the use of encryption in commercial products by prohibiting restrictions on security technologies used to protect data in-transit or at-rest. The JAEPA should include such a provision to preclude governments from mandating how encryption and other security technologies are designed or implemented, including by prohibiting requirements to build in vulnerabilities or "back-doors" or otherwise requiring the disclosure of encryption keys.

ADVANCING THE USE OF TECHNOLOGY IN GOVERNMENT

Digital trade agreements should promote the use of innovative technology in government operations, including operations involved in providing services to citizens.

Procurement

The JAEPA should include procurement rules that ensure both parties open its government procurement market to enterprises of the other Party, including in relationship to technology, software, and cloud computing procurements.

Encourage Open Digital Architectures and Ensure Technology Choice

Digital trade agreements should ensure that companies and government agencies are free to choose and use the technologies and solutions that best suit their needs. The JAEPA should ensure that government procurement rules in Australian and Japan do not require government agencies to purchase and use local or other specific technology. This will enable companies in both participating economies to achieve greater security and drive innovation in key technologies, including cloud computing, AI, and 5G telecommunications.

CONCLUSION

BSA appreciates the opportunity to submit our comments to this consultation which we hope will be helpful as DFAT continues to refine and enhance Australia's approach to a digital partnership agreement with Japan. We look forward to continued collaborations with the Australian Government and their global trade partners in advancing international norms on digital trade. If you require any clarification or further information in respect of this submission, please contact the undersigned at eunicel@bsa.org.

Yours faithfully,



Eunice Lim
Senior Manager, Policy – APAC
BSA | The Software Alliance